Examiner-Initiated Interview Su	ummary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/864,925	EROMAKI, PENTTI	EROMAKI, PENTTI JUHANI	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Steven D. Maki	1733		
All Participants:		Status of Application	1:		
(1) <u>Steven D. Maki</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Ted Baker</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 29 November 2004		Time:	•		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applic ⊠ No	ant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:		·			
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed: none					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING T Examiner noted that page 2 of the specification refer 21-22 on page 2 of the specification.	HE GENEI	RAL NATURE OF WHAT Vand claim 23. Applicant's rep	NAS DISCUSSED: presentative agreed to delete	e lines	
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the appli of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brid 	senarate re	ecord of the substance of	vritten summary of the su	ibstance	
	*		`		
Λ			•		
Steven o Mali					
xaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)					